

## Interview Summary

Application No.

10/020,932

Applicant(s)

GOTO ET AL.

Examiner

Michael Cuff

Art Unit

3627

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Michael Cuff.

(3) \_\_\_\_\_.

(2) Kurt Berger.

(4) \_\_\_\_\_.

Date of Interview: 19 April 2007.

Type: a) ☐ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference

c) ☒ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☒ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No.

If Yes, brief description: \_\_\_\_\_.

Claim(s) discussed: 1 and 3.

Identification of prior art discussed: Cukor.

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☒ was reached. g) ☐ was not reached. h) ☐ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: See Continuation Sheet.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

 7/19/07

**MICHAEL CUFF**  
**PRIMARY EXAMINER**

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

\_\_\_\_\_  
Examiner's signature, if required

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: It was agreed that the following claim language at the end of claim 1 would overcome the current rejection.

... outputting said image data or said document data to a terminal of said concerned party to thereby display status of a transfer of said goods, on said terminal of said concerned party; and  
wherein said data output step further comprises displaying, on a display device of the terminal, based on said obtained data, a diagram illustrating the status of the transfer of said goods.